

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

In compliance with your instructions there is submitted herewith a general analysis of the report of Mr. Gordon Gray to you dated 22 February 1952, on the organization and work of the Board. This report with its conclusions and recommendations is concurred in by the three members of the Board.

In his report Mr. Gray reviews the events of the past few years which made the creation of this new instrument of government necessary and traces the development and work of the Board since its inception. In addition, Mr. Gray has outlined the progress which has been made in resolving problems arising from the differences among the government agencies concerned and in obtaining a concerted psychological effort.

The Board felt that the report should not be published.

In suggesting publication, Mr. Gray was undoubtedly motivated by the desire to obtain better public comprehension of the Government's psychological warfare efforts and to enlist support in the application

Approved For Release 2003/05/23 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300180055-1  
of certain plans in the solution of the problems involved. The merit

of these suggestions is fully appreciated but the clear disadvantages from the disclosure of the sensitive and classified material in the report amply justify your decision not to publish it.

On the other hand, the Board feels from its study of the report and in light of the present world situation, that it would be extremely helpful if on appropriate occasion you could find an opportunity to restate to the country and to the world why we are in a cold war and the principles and policies guiding our psychological effort, as well as the problems that are faced and the work that has so far been accomplished, including possible reference to the Board's relation to that work. Such a statement might elaborate upon certain of the most significant themes of Mr. Gray's report. It would provide an opportunity to clarify the thinking of certain segments of the American public and of our allies, who may not yet be fully persuaded of the scope and vigorous nature of the American policy with respect to the cold war. This would do much to accomplish what we believe to have been Mr. Gray's objectives in suggesting publication of the report.

It would also provide an opportunity for you publicly to acknowledge

Mr. Gray has suggested four specific changes affecting the Board. These are:

First, that the Director be made Chairman of the Board, possibly without a vote.

The title Director indicates a somewhat higher level of responsibility than was originally contemplated when the office was first created and the scope of the Director's duties has sometimes been misunderstood and deserves some clarification. However, the Director now sits with the Board, and as all proceedings are rather informal and decisions are based on unanimity of opinion, we believe on balance that no change in the composition of the Board should be made at this time. Arrangements have been made to rotate the duty of presiding officer, and for the Director to take his turn in that capacity. This will accomplish to some extent the purpose of Mr. Gray's recommendation, without conjuring up the objections which a more radical change might arouse.

Mr. Gray's second and third suggested changes that the Director sit with the National Security Council as needed, and that he informally

views and preferences. However, the Council always has a tendency to grow, and you have had to trim it down once or twice already. Mr. Gray's purpose would be accomplished if the Director attends Council meetings as required and pursuant to invitation by the Council's Executive Secretary.

Mr. Gray's fourth proposed change is that a member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff should sit with the Board. Having one of the Joint Chiefs or its Chairman attend the Board meetings as a consultant and a military advisor would simply be a strengthening of the representation of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, prescribed in your directive of 4 April 1951 organizing the Board. If you approve this suggestion, it may be accomplished by invitation to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff as the needs of the Board require.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

You have already taken the recommended action indicated by the first three above-stated conclusions by your letter to Mr. Gray.

With respect to the other conclusions involving Mr. Gray's suggested changes, the Board respectfully recommends that: (a) no change in

be rotated with the Director taking his turn as presiding officer;

(c) the Director be called on to attend National Security Council

meetings as the needs of the Council require and at the invitation of

the Executive Secretary to the Council; and (d) you indicate to the

Joint Chiefs of Staff your approval of having the Chairman of, or one

of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, meet with the Board as a consultant and

military advisor as the need arises.

WALTER B. SMITH  
Chairman  
Psychological Strategy Board